

Do background images improve “Draw a Secret” graphical passwords?

Jeff Yan

School of Computing Science
Newcastle University, UK
(Joint work with **Paul Dunphy**)



Context

- Textual passwords
 - Cheap, convenient, ubiquitous
 - Have long suffered usability problems
 - Due to limitations of human memory
- Graphical passwords
 - A picture is worth a thousand words
 - Hot topic in both security and HCI communities
 - Bonder ('96), Passfaces, Inkblot, Passpoints, etc.
 - Collective understanding: still in its infancy

ACM CCS'07, Oct 30

(2)

“Draw a Secret” [Usenix'99]

- One representative scheme; one of the few supporting both
 - **Authentication**: to verify the claimed identity of a user, and
 - **Key generation**: to use a password to generate a long crypto key
- Theoretical password space: DAS > textual

ACM CCS'07, Oct 30

(3)

“Draw a Secret”

- A password is a free-form drawing on a grid of size $N \times N$
 - Sample: encoded as (2, 2), (3, 2), (3, 3), (2, 3), (2, 2), (2, 1), (5, 5), (1, 2), (1, 3), (5, 5)
 - Two secrets are the same if the encoding is the same;
- Determinants of password strength include
 - Stroke count (2)
 - Password length (8)
 - Grid size (4x4)




Figure 2: Input of a graphical password on a 4×4 grid. The drawing is mapped to a sequence of coordinate pairs by listing the cells in the order which the stylus passes through them, with a distinguished coordinate pair inserted in the sequence whenever the stylus is lifted from the drawing surface.

ACM CCS'07, Oct 30

(4)

Problems with DAS

- ❑ Users tend to pick weak passwords that are vulnerable to *graphical dictionary attack* (Thorpe and van Oorschot [usenix'04])
 - Small stroke count,
 - Small password length,
 - Mirror symmetry
- ❑ Implication: this theoretically sound scheme is less secure in practice
 - 1-week recall (pilot): avg strength of memorable passwords < 41.9 bits (vs. 8-character text pwd: 53 bits)

Grid selection as a solution

- ❑ Thorpe and van Oorschot [acsac04]
- ❑ How it works:





Figure 6. Grid selection: a user selects a drawing grid in which to draw their password.

- Adds up to 16 bits to the password space
- Unclear it works well as expected (no empirical study yet)


Intuition behind our solution



- ❑ In DAS, difficult to reconstruct a complex secret
 - E.g. people were able to remember what their drawings looked like, but failed to replicate them in the correct location (Goldberg et al [CHI'02])
- ❑ The cells in the grid all look alike!
 - What if recreation of a secret can be aided by something that reduces the confusion, e.g. a background image?

Our novel proposal

- ❑ Background Draw a Secret (BDAS):
 - Instead of creating a secret on an empty grid, a user choose a background image to be overlaid by the grid, and then create a secret as in DAS



DAS

BDAS

Empirical evaluations


- Design
 - Paper/transparency prototype
 - Drawing grid
 - 5x5
 - Same size as a popular PDA
 - Comparative study
 - DAS: grid printed on transparency
 - BDAS: choose one out of 5 images to be overlaid with grid
- Procedure
 - 46 participants
 - 26: non-technical
 - 32 M, 14 F
 - Age: 18-25 (one 50+)
 - Briefing & randomly assigned a group
 - Practice
 - Password creation
 - 5-minute recall
 - 1-week recall

What background image to choose?




- Little guideline in literature
- have meaningful content and rich details (Wiedenbeck et al SOUPS'05)
 - Easy to select spots
- Intuition
 - Not introduce obvious bias
 - Everyday images

Background images used



- Stars
- Map
- Plant
- Crowds
- Playing card
- Low-detail

Results: background image choice



- Images dense with content (*map* and *crowd*) anticipated to be the most popular
 - This was clearly contradicted
 - Playing card: 33% of selections, plant: 30%

Results: password quality

- Complexity of secrets in each group
 - BDAS: larger stroke count (significantly different) and password length
 - BDAS: stronger by more than 10 bits

Group	Strokes				Password length			
	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min
BDAS	7.22	2.21	12	4	21.43	7.76	37	6
DAS	5.30	2.44	10	1	18.26	9.19	42	6

- Symmetry: 43% (BDAS) vs 57% (DAS)
- Centering within the grid: 43% (BDAS) vs. 87% (DAS)

Results: 5-minute recall

- Recall rate
 - DAS: 100% (23/23); BDAS: 96% (22/23) [Fig10(a)]
- Complexity of successfully recalled secrets:

Group	Strokes				Password length			
	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min
BDAS	7.45	2.26	12	4	21.7	8.31	37	6
DAS	5.30	2.44	10	1	18.26	9.19	42	6

- BDAS: larger stroke count (significantly different) and password length; avg strength: larger by more than 10 bits
- BDAS: less symmetry and centering

Results: 1-week recall

- Recall rate
 - DAS = BDAS = 95% (20/21)
- Complexity of successfully recalled secrets:

Group	Strokes				Password length			
	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min	Avg.	S.d.	Max	Min
BDAS	7.1	2.16	12	4	20.9	7.71	37	6
DAS	5	2.44	10	1	17.45	7.63	37	6

- BDAS: larger stroke count (significantly different) and password length
- Avg strength: <60 bits (DAS); >70.2 bits (BDAS)
- BDAS: less symmetry and centering

Summary

- A simple idea: introducing background images into DAS
- Nice results
 - Much stronger passwords; just as memorable as their much simpler DAS counterparts.
 - The most exciting bit: A simple idea significantly enhances both usability and security simultaneously
- Numerous possibilities for future study

Ongoing and future work

- Larger scale of experiments with an actual implementation
 - DAS vs. BDAS
 - BDAS vs. textual passwords
- What will make good background images?
 - Effect of individual background image choices
- Shoulder surfing resistance
- Interference between multiple passwords
- Many more ...

Thank You!

Jeff.Yan@ncl.ac.uk